

ON SOME INEQUALITIES FOR GAMMA AND BETA k -FUNCTIONS VIA SOME CLASSICAL INEQUALITIES

SHAHID MUBEEN, SANA IQBAL, MAMMONA NAZ

ABSTRACT. In this paper, We improve several results recently established by Rehman et al. [14] for the gamma and beta k -functions by using some classical inequalities like Chebychev, Hölder and Grüss inequalities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Diaz and Pariguan [1] have introduced the generalization of the classical gamma and beta functions in terms of a new parameter $k > 0$, called gamma and beta k -functions respectively. The gamma k -function is defined as follows

$$\Gamma_k(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!k^n (nk)^{\frac{x}{k}-1}}{(x)_{n,k}}, \quad k > 0, x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus kZ^-, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$(x)_{n,k} = x(x+k)(x+2k) \cdots (x+(n-1)k), \quad n \geq 1,$$

is called Pochhammer k -symbol, which is the generalization of usual Pochhammer symbol. The relation between gamma and beta k -functions is given by

$$\beta_k(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma_k(x)\Gamma_k(y)}{\Gamma_k(x+y)}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(x) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(y) > 0$$

and integral representations of gamma and beta k -functions are respectively given below

$$\Gamma_k(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-\frac{t^k}{k}} dt, \quad \operatorname{Re}(x) > 0 \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$\beta_k(x, y) = \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{x}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{y}{k}-1} dt, \quad \operatorname{Re}(x) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(y) > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33B15, 33B20, 33C05.

Key words and phrases. Pochhammer k -symbol, gamma k -function, beta k -function, inequalities.

©2016 Ilirias Publications, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted February 20, 2016. Published May 27, 2016.

Later, the researchers [4, 5, 7, 9, 11] have worked on the generalized gamma and beta k -functions and discussed the following properties of these functions for $k > 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\Gamma_k(x+k) = x\Gamma_k(x),$$

$$(x)_{n,k} = \frac{\Gamma_k(x+nk)}{\Gamma_k(x)},$$

$$\Gamma_k(k) = 1,$$

$$\Gamma_k(x) = \alpha^{\frac{x}{k}} \int_0^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-\frac{t^k}{k}\alpha} dt,$$

$$\Gamma_k(\alpha k) = k^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha),$$

$$\Gamma_k(nk) = k^{n-1} (n-1)!,$$

$$\beta_k(x+k, y) = \frac{x}{x+y} \beta_k(x, y), \quad (1.4)$$

$$\beta_k(x, y+k) = \frac{y}{x+y} \beta_k(x, y). \quad (1.5)$$

From relation (1.2), one can easily calculate the n th-derivative of gamma k -function as

$$\Gamma_k^{(n)}(x) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-\frac{t^k}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt, \quad \operatorname{Re}(x) > 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Kokologiannaki et al. [6] formed some important results of gamma, beta, zeta and hypergeometric k -functions. They also gave completely monotonicity properties and inequalities for functions involving the Γ_k functions and their logarithmic derivatives ψ_k . Krasniqi [8] proved some properties of special k -functions and also gave a limit for the gamma and beta k -functions. Suryanarayana and Rao [17] established some properties and inequalities for the generalized special k -functions. Zhang and Shi [18] proved two double inequalities for gamma and Riemann zeta k -functions and gave their applications. Secer et al. [16] established the q -extension of the Grüss type integral inequality related to the integrable functions involving the Riemann-Liouville fractional q -integral operators.

Mubeen et al. [12] discussed some properties and inequalities involving gamma, beta and Psi k -functions and also proved some extended results for the said functions. They also proved an inequality for beta k -function via logarithmic derivative of the gamma k -function. Rehman and Mubeen [15] presented some inequalities involving gamma and beta k -functions via some classical inequalities like the Chebychev inequality for synchronous (asynchronous) mappings, Grüss and the Ostrowski's inequality. Also, they proved the log-convexity of the gamma and beta k -functions by using Hölder's inequality and also they gave applications of beta k -function in probability distributions.

2. INEQUALITIES VIA CHEBYCHEV'S INTEGRAL INEQUALITY

In this section, we prove some inequalities involving the derivative of gamma k -function by using Chebychev's integral inequality. The following result is the well known Chebychev's integral inequality for synchronous (asynchronous) mappings [13].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f, g, h : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $h(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in I$ and h, hfg, hf and hg are integrable on I . If f, g are synchronous (asynchronous) on I , that is, if it holds*

$$(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) \geq (\leq) 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.1)$$

Then, we have the following inequality

$$\int_I h(x) dx \int_I h(x) f(x) g(x) dx \geq (\leq) \int_I h(x) f(x) dx \int_I h(x) g(x) dx. \quad (2.2)$$

Theorem 2.2. *For $k > 0$, let s, u and v be real numbers with $s, u > 0$ and $u > v > -s$, if*

$$v(u - s - v) \geq (\leq) 0, \quad (2.3)$$

then for a non-negative integer n , we have

$$\Gamma_k^{(n)}(u) \Gamma_k^{(n)}(s) \geq (\leq) \Gamma_k^{(n)}(s + v) \Gamma_k^{(n)}(u - v). \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Consider the mappings $f, g, h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined as

$$f(t) = t^{u-s-v}, \quad g(t) = t^v, \quad h(t) = t^{s-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n.$$

If the condition given in (2.3) is true, then the functions f and g are synchronous (asynchronous) on $[0, \infty)$. So, by Chebychev's inequality for $I = [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt \int_0^\infty t^{u-v-s} t^v t^{s-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt \\ & \geq (\leq) \int_0^\infty t^{u-v-s} t^{s-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt \int_0^\infty t^v t^{s-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt \int_0^\infty t^{u-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt \\ & \geq (\leq) \int_0^\infty t^{u-v-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt \int_0^\infty t^{s+v-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Hence from relation (1.6), we get the required inequality (2.4). \square

Corollary 2.3. *For $k > 0$, let $u > 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $|r| \leq u$, then for a non-negative integer n , we have*

$$\left[\Gamma_k^{(n)}(u) \right]^2 \leq \Gamma_k^{(n)}(u - r) \Gamma_k^{(n)}(u + r). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. The inequality (2.6) can be obtained by using $s = u$ and $v = r$ in theorem 2.2. \square

Remark. *For $s = 2$, $u = p + q$ and $v = q - 1$, the condition (2.3) becomes*

$$(p - 1)(q - 1) \geq (\leq) 0, \quad (2.7)$$

that is the positive real numbers p and q are said to be similarly (oppositely) unitary.

Theorem 2.4. For $k > 0$, if $p, q > 0$ are similarly (oppositely) unitary, then

$$\Gamma_k^{(n)}(p+q+k-1)\Gamma_k^{(n)}(k+1) \geq (\leq) \Gamma_k^{(n)}(p+k)\Gamma_k^{(n)}(q+k). \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. For $k > 0$, consider the mappings $f, g, h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined as

$$f(t) = t^{p-1}, \quad g(t) = t^{q-1}, \quad h(t) = t^k e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n$$

If the condition given in (2.7) is true, then the functions f and g are synchronous (asynchronous) on $[0, \infty)$. So, by Chebychev's inequality for the functions f, g and h defined above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^k e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} t^{q-1} t^k e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt \\ & \geq (\leq) \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} t^k e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt \int_0^\infty t^{q-1} t^k e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{k+1-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt \int_0^\infty t^{p+q+k-1-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt \\ & \geq (\leq) \int_0^\infty t^{p+k-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n dt \int_0^\infty t^{q+k-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Hence from relation (1.6), we get the required inequality (2.8). \square

Theorem 2.5. For $k > 0$, if $p, q > 0$ are similarly (oppositely) unitary, then

$$\Gamma_k^{(n)}(k)\Gamma_k^{(n)}(p+q+k) \geq (\leq) \Gamma_k^{(n)}(p+k)\Gamma_k^{(n)}(q+k). \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. For $k > 0$, consider the mappings $f, g, h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined as

$$f(t) = t^p, \quad g(t) = t^q, \quad h(t) = t^{k-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n.$$

If the conditions of previous theorem hold, then the functions f and g are synchronous (asynchronous) on $[0, \infty)$. So, by following the steps of previous theorem for the functions f, g and h defined above, we have the required inequality (2.10). \square

Theorem 2.6. For $k > 0$, a given real $s > 0$ and a non-negative integer n , consider the mapping

$$\xi_{s,n,k}(x) = \frac{\Gamma_k^{(n)}(x+s)}{\Gamma_k^{(n)}(s)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Show that the mapping $\xi_{s,n,k}(\cdot)$ is supermultiplicative on $[0, \infty)$, in the sense

$$\xi_{s,n,k}(x+y) \geq \xi_{s,n,k}(x)\xi_{s,n,k}(y). \quad (2.12)$$

Proof. Put $u = x+y+s$ and $v = y$, then condition (2.3) becomes

$$xy \geq 0, \quad (2.13)$$

and the inequality (2.4) takes the form

$$\Gamma_k^{(n)}(s)\Gamma_k^{(n)}(x+y+s) \geq \Gamma_k^{(n)}(x+s)\Gamma_k^{(n)}(y+s), \quad (2.14)$$

which is equivalent to (2.12). \square

3. AN INEQUALITY VIA HÖLDER'S INEQUALITY

In this section, we prove an inequality involving the derivative of gamma k -function, which shows the logarithmic convexity of $\Gamma_k^{(n)}$. The well known Hölder's inequality is defined as follows.

Let p and q be two positive real numbers such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and let $f, g : I \subseteq R \rightarrow R$ satisfying

$$\int_I |f(t)|^p dt, \int_I |g(t)|^q dt \leq \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

then

$$\left| \int_I f(t)g(t)dt \right| \leq \left(\int_I |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_I |g(t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Theorem 3.1. For $k > 0$, let $a, b \geq 0$ with $a + b = 1$. Also let $x, y > 0$ be real numbers, then for a non-negative integer n , we have

$$\Gamma_k^{(n)}(ax + by) \leq \left[\Gamma_k^{(n)}(x) \right]^a \left[\Gamma_k^{(n)}(y) \right]^b. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Use the followin mappings

$$f(t) = t^{a(x-1)} \left(e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n \right)^a, \quad g(t) = t^{b(y-1)} \left(e^{-\frac{t}{k}} (\ln t)^n \right)^b, \quad t \in (0, \infty)$$

in inequality (3.2) (for $I = (0, \infty)$, $p = \frac{1}{a}$ and $q = \frac{1}{b}$), we get the required inequality (3.3). \square

4. INEQUALITIES VIA GRÜSS INEQUALITY.

In this section, we prove the Grüss type inequalities [2] involving beta and gamma k -functions. The Grüss inequality was given by Grüss in [3]. This inequality actually connects the integral of the product of two functions with the product of their integrals. The following interpolation of Grüss inequality is well known (see [10]).

Lemma 4.1. Let f, g and h be integrable functions defined on $[a, b]$ and φ, ϕ, ψ and Ψ are given constants such that

$$\varphi \leq f(t) \leq \phi, \quad \psi \leq g(t) \leq \Psi, \quad \forall t \in [a, b],$$

then

$$|D(f, g; h)| \leq [D(f, f; h)]^{\frac{1}{2}} [D(g, g; h)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{4}(\phi - \varphi)(\Psi - \psi) \left[\int_a^b h(t)dt \right]^2, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$D(f, g; h) = \int_a^b h(t)dt \int_a^b h(t)f(t)g(t)dt - \int_a^b h(t)f(t)dt \int_a^b h(t)g(t)dt. \quad (4.2)$$

Theorem 4.2. For $k > 0$, let $p, q, c, d > 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_k(c, d)\beta_k(c + p, d + q) - \beta_k(c + p, d)\beta_k(c, d + q) \\ & \leq \left[\beta_k(c, d)\beta_k(c + 2p, d) - (\beta_k(c + p, d)^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \left[\beta_k(c, d)\beta_k(c, d + 2q) - (\beta_k(c, d + q)^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} [\beta_k(c, d)]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. Set the following mappings

$$f(t) = t^{\frac{p}{k}}, \quad g(t) = (1-t)^{\frac{q}{k}}, \quad h(t) = t^{\frac{c}{k}-1}(1-t)^{\frac{d}{k}-1},$$

$a = 0$, $b = 1$, $\varphi = \psi = 0$ and $\phi = \Psi = 1$ in lemma 4.1, we get the desired double inequality (4.3). \square

Corollary 4.3. *For $c = d = k$, the inequality (4.3) of previous theorem reduces to*

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_k(p+k, q+k) - \frac{k}{(p+k)(q+k)} \\ & \leq \frac{pq}{(p+k)(q+k)\sqrt{(2p+k)(2q+k)}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4k}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. It can be proved by using

$$\beta_k(k, k) = \frac{1}{k}, \quad \beta_k(x, k) = \frac{1}{x}, \quad \beta_k(k, y) = \frac{1}{y},$$

and relations (1.4) and (1.5) in previous theorem. \square

Theorem 4.4. *For $k > 0$, let $m, n, p, q, c, d > 0$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_k(c, d)\beta_k(c+m+p, d+n+q) - \beta_k(c+m, d+n)\beta_k(c+p, d+q) \\ & \leq [\beta_k(c, d)\beta_k(c+2m, d+2n) - (\beta_k(c+m, d+n))^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \times [\beta_k(c, d)\beta_k(c+2p, d+2q) - (\beta_k(c+p, d+q))^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{m^{\frac{m}{k}} n^{\frac{n}{k}}}{(m+n)^{\frac{m+n}{k}}} \frac{p^{\frac{p}{k}} q^{\frac{q}{k}}}{(p+q)^{\frac{p+q}{k}}} [\beta_k(c, d)]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Set

$$f(t) = t^{\frac{m}{k}}(1-t)^{\frac{n}{k}}, \quad g(t) = t^{\frac{p}{k}}(1-t)^{\frac{q}{k}}, \quad h(t) = t^{\frac{c}{k}-1}(1-t)^{\frac{d}{k}-1},$$

$a = 0$ and $b = 1$ in relation (4.2), we have

$$D(f, g; h) = k^2 [\beta_k(c, d)\beta_k(c+m+p, d+n+q) - \beta_k(c+m, d+n)\beta_k(c+p, d+q)],$$

$$D(f, f; h) = k^2 [\beta_k(c, d)\beta_k(c+2m, d+2n) - (\beta_k(c+m, d+n))^2],$$

$$D(g, g; h) = k^2 [\beta_k(c, d)\beta_k(c+2p, d+2q) - (\beta_k(c+p, d+q))^2].$$

Now for the application of Grüss inequality, we have to find ϕ and Ψ , the maximum of f and g respectively.

Let

$$I_{m,n}(t) = t^{\frac{m}{k}}(1-t)^{\frac{n}{k}},$$

and

$$I_{p,q}(t) = t^{\frac{p}{k}}(1-t)^{\frac{q}{k}}.$$

Then

$$\frac{dI_{m,n}(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{k} t^{\frac{m}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{n}{k}-1} [m(1-t) - nt].$$

From here, we observe that the unique solution of $I'_{m,n}(t) = 0$ in $(0, 1)$ is

$$t_0 = \frac{m}{m+n}.$$

Also since $I'_{m,n}(t) > 0$ on $(0, t_0)$ and $I'_{m,n}(t) < 0$ on $(t_0, 1)$, therefore t_0 is its maximum point in $(0, 1)$.

Consequently,

$$\varphi = \inf_{t \in [0,1]} I_{m,n}(t) = 0$$

and

$$\phi = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} I_{m,n}(t) = I_{m,n}\left(\frac{m}{m+n}\right) = \frac{m^{\frac{m}{k}} n^{\frac{n}{k}}}{(m+n)^{\frac{m+n}{k}}}.$$

By following the same steps for $I_{p,q}(t)$, we can find $\psi = 0$ and

$$\Psi = \frac{p^{\frac{p}{k}} q^{\frac{q}{k}}}{(p+q)^{\frac{p+q}{k}}}.$$

So by using all values in inequality (4.1), we get the required double inequality (4.5). \square

Theorem 4.5. For $k > 0$, let $p, q, r, u, v, w > 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Gamma_k(p+q+r)\Gamma_k(c)}{(u+v+w)^{\frac{p+q+r}{k}} w^{\frac{r}{k}}} - \frac{\Gamma_k(p+r)\Gamma_k(q+r)}{(u+w)^{\frac{p+r}{k}} (v+w)^{\frac{q+r}{k}}} \right| \\ & \leq \left[\frac{\Gamma_k(2p+r)\Gamma_k(r)}{(2u+w)^{\frac{2p+r}{k}} w^{\frac{r}{k}}} - \frac{(\Gamma_k(p+r))^2}{(u+w)^{\frac{2(p+r)}{k}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \times \left[\frac{\Gamma_k(2q+r)\Gamma_k(r)}{(2v+w)^{\frac{2q+r}{k}} w^{\frac{r}{k}}} - \frac{(\Gamma_k(q+r))^2}{(u+w)^{\frac{2(q+r)}{k}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{p}{ue} \right)^{\frac{p}{k}} \left(\frac{q}{ve} \right)^{\frac{q}{k}} \frac{(\Gamma_k(r))^2}{w^{\frac{2r}{k}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Consider the mapping

$$f_{p,u}(t) = t^p e^{-u \frac{t^k}{k}} \quad (4.7)$$

on $(0, \infty)$. Then

$$f'_{p,u}(t) = t^{p-1} e^{-u \frac{t^k}{k}} (p - ut^k). \quad (4.8)$$

It shows that $f_{p,u}$ is increasing on $(0, (\frac{p}{u})^{\frac{1}{k}})$ and decreasing on $((\frac{p}{u})^{\frac{1}{k}}, \infty)$. So maximal value of $f_{p,u}$ is

$$f_{p,u} \left(\left(\frac{p}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) = \left(\frac{p}{ue} \right)^{\frac{p}{k}} \quad (4.9)$$

Similarly, we can find the maximal value of $f_{q,v}$. Now set

$$f(t) = f_{p,u}(t), \quad g(t) = f_{q,v}(t), \quad h(t) = f_{r-1,w}(t), \quad (4.10)$$

$a = 0$, $b \rightarrow \infty$, $\phi = (\frac{p}{ue})^{\frac{p}{k}}$ and $\Psi = (\frac{q}{ve})^{\frac{q}{k}}$ in inequality (4.1), we get the required double inequality (4.6). \square

Corollary 4.6. Using $u = v = w = k$ in inequality (4.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Gamma_k(p+q+r)\Gamma_k(r)}{3^{\frac{p+q+r}{k}} k^{\frac{p+q+2r}{k}}} - \frac{\Gamma_k(p+r)\Gamma_k(q+r)}{(2k)^{\frac{p+q+2r}{k}}} \right| \\ & \leq \left[\frac{\Gamma_k(2p+r)\Gamma_k(r)}{3^{\frac{2p+r}{k}} k^{\frac{r}{k}}} - \frac{(\Gamma_k(p+r))^2}{(2k)^{\frac{2(p+r)}{k}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \left[\frac{\Gamma_k(2q+r)\Gamma_k(r)}{3^{\frac{2q+r}{k}} k^{\frac{2(q+r)}{k}}} - \frac{(\Gamma_k(q+r))^2}{(2k)^{\frac{2(q+r)}{k}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{p}{ue} \right)^{\frac{p}{k}} \left(\frac{q}{ve} \right)^{\frac{q}{k}} \frac{(\Gamma_k(r))^2}{k^{\frac{2r}{k}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Authors contributions. The main idea of this paper was proposed by SM and SI. The authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous editor and referees for his/her comments that helped us improve this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Diaz and E. Pariguan, (2007). On hypergeometric functions and Pochhammer k -symbol. *Divulgaciones Matematicas*, **15**: 179-192.
- [2] S.S. Dragomir, (2000). Some integral inequalities of Grüss type. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **31(4)**: 397-415.
- [3] G. Grüss, (1935). Über das maximum des absoluten Betrages $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b f(x)dx \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x)dx$. *Math. Z.* **39**: 215-226.
- [4] C.G. Kokologiannaki, (2010). Properties and inequalities of generalized k -gamma, beta and zeta functions. *Int.J. Contemp. Math. Sci.*, **5**: 653-660.
- [5] C.G. Kokologiannaki and V. Karasniqi, (2013). Some properties of k -gamma function. *Le Mathematicas*, **LXVIII**: 13-22.
- [6] C.G. Kokologiannaki and V.D. Sourla, (2013). Bounds for k -gamma and k -beta functions. *Journal of Inequalities and Special Functions*, **4(3)**: 1-5.
- [7] V. Krasniqi, (2010). A limit for the k -gamma and k -beta function. *Int. Math. Forum*, **5**: 1613-1617.
- [8] V. Krasniqi, (2010). Inequalities and monotonicity for the ration of k -gamma function. *Scientia Magna*, **6**: 40-45.
- [9] M. Mansour, (2009). Determining the k -generalized gamma function $\Gamma_k(x)$, by functional equations. *International Journal Contemp. Math. Sciences*, **4(21)**: 1037-1042.
- [10] D.S. Mitrinović, J.E. Pečarić and A.M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Mathematics and Its Applications (East European Series), vol. 61, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [11] S. Mubeen and G.M. Habibullah, (2012). An integral representation of some k -hypergeometric functions. *Int. Math. Forum*, **Vol. 7**, No.4, 203-207.
- [12] S. Mubeen, A. Rehman and F. Shaheen, (2014). Properties of k -gamma, k -beta and k -psi functions. *Bothalia journal*, **44**, No. 4.
- [13] J.E. Pečarić, F. Proschan and Y.L. Tong, Y. *Convex Functions, Partial Orderings, and Statistical Applications*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, vol. 187, Academic Press, Massachusetts, 1992.
- [14] A. Rehman, S. Mubeen, N. Sadiq and F. Shaheen, (2014). Some inequalities involving k -gamma and k -beta functions with applications. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2014:224.
- [15] A. Rehman and S. Mubeen, (2014). Some inequalities involving k -gamma and k -beta functions with applications-II. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2014**: 445.

- [16] A. Secer, S.D. Purohit, K.A. Selvakumaran and M.M. Bayram, (2014). A generalized q -Grüss inequality involving the Riemann-Liouville fractional q -Integrals. *Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Journal of Applied Mathematics*, **2014**: 6 pages.
- [17] R. Suryanarayana, and C. Rao, (2012). Some new inequalities for the generalized ϵ -gamma, beta and zeta functions. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology (IJERT)*, **1(9)**.
- [18] J. Zhang and H.N. Shi, (2014). Two double inequalities for k -gamma and k -Riemann zeta functions. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2014**: 191.

SHAHID MUBEEN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SARGODHA, SARGODHA 40100, PAKISTAN.

E-mail address: smjhanda@gmail.com

SANA IQBAL

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF SARGODHA, SARGODHA 40100, PAKISTAN.

E-mail address: sna1310@gmail.com

MAMMONA NAZ

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF SARGODHA, SARGODHA 40100, PAKISTAN.

E-mail address: star.saim@yahoo.com