

## A STUDY ON A FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITY AND ITS APPLICATIONS

BANYAT SROYSANG

ABSTRACT. In 2009, W. T. Sulaiman presented a new method in order to check whether a function is  $(p, q)$ -Hölder. In this paper, we generalize this method.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For any  $p, q > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , a non-negative function  $f$  on an interval  $I \subseteq [0, \infty)$  is called  $(p, q)$ -Hölder on  $I$  if

$$f(xy) \leq (f(x^p))^{1/p} (f(x^q))^{1/q}$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Many examples were presented in [1].

In 2009, W. T. Sulaiman [2] proved that for any non-negative function  $f$  on an interval  $I \subseteq [0, \infty)$  and for any  $p, q > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , if

$$f''(x) + \frac{f'(x)}{x} - \frac{(f'(x))^2}{f(x)} \geq 0$$

for all  $x \in I - \{0\}$ , then  $f$  is  $(p, q)$ -Hölder on  $I$ .

For any  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n > 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ , a non-negative function  $f$  on an interval  $I \subseteq [0, \infty)$  is called  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ -Hölder on  $I$  if

$$f(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (f(x_i^{p_i}))^{1/p_i}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in I$ .

In this paper, we generalize the result in 2009 using the  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ -Hölder definition.

### 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f$  be a non-negative function  $f$  on an interval  $I \subseteq [0, \infty)$  and let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n > 1$  be such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ . Assume that*

$$f''(x) + \frac{f'(x)}{x} - \frac{(f'(x))^2}{f(x)} \geq 0$$

*for all  $x \in I - \{0\}$ . Then  $f$  is  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ -Hölder on  $I$ .*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15.

*Key words and phrases.* Functional inequality.

©2014 Ilirias Publications, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted January 25, 2014. Published March 23, 2014.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that

$$f\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{1/p_i}\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n f^{1/p_i}(x_i)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in I$ .

For fixed  $x_2, \dots, x_n \in I$ , we define

$$F(x) = f^{1/p_1}(x) \prod_{i=2}^n f^{1/p_i}(x_i) - f\left(x^{1/p_1} \prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{1/p_i}\right)$$

for all  $x \in I$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F'(x) &= \frac{1}{p_1} \left[ \frac{f'(x)}{f^{\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i}(x)} \prod_{i=2}^n f^{1/p_i}(x_i) - \frac{\prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{1/p_i}}{x^{\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i}} f' \left( x^{1/p_1} \prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{1/p_i} \right) \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

if  $x = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ .

For any  $x_2, \dots, x_n \in I$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F''(x) &= \frac{1}{p_1} \left[ \frac{f''(x)}{f^{\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i}(x)} \prod_{i=2}^n f^{1/p_i}(x_i) - \frac{(\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i) (f'(x))^2 \prod_{i=2}^n f^{1/p_i}(x_i)}{f(x) f^{\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i}(x)} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p_1} \left[ \frac{1}{p_1} \left( \frac{\prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{1/p_i}}{x^{\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i}} \right)^2 f'' \left( x^{1/p_1} \prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{1/p_i} \right) - \frac{\prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{1/p_i}}{x^{\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i}} \frac{f' \left( x^{1/p_1} \prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{1/p_i} \right)}{x (\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i)^{-1}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in I - \{0\}$ .

If  $x = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} F''(x) &= \frac{1}{p_1} \left[ f''(x) - \frac{(\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i) (f'(x))^2}{f(x)} \right] - \frac{1}{p_1} \left[ \frac{1}{p_1} f''(x) - \frac{f'(x)}{x (\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i)^{-1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{p_1} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) f''(x) - \frac{(\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i) (f'(x))^2}{f(x)} + \frac{(\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i) f'(x)}{x} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{p_1} \left[ \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{p_i}\right) f''(x) + \frac{(\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i) f'(x)}{x} - \frac{(\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i) (f'(x))^2}{f(x)} \right] \\ &= \frac{(\sum_{i=2}^n 1/p_i)}{p_1} \left[ f''(x) + \frac{f'(x)}{x} - \frac{(f'(x))^2}{f(x)} \right] \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $F(x) \geq F(0) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ .

Hence,

$$f^{1/p_1}(x) \prod_{i=2}^n f^{1/p_i}(x_i) \geq f\left(x^{1/p_1} \prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{1/p_i}\right)$$

for all  $x, x_2, \dots, x_n \in I$ .

This proof is completed.  $\square$

## 3. APPLICATIONS

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function and let  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be such that  $f(x) = e^{g(\log_e x)}$  for all  $x > 0$ . Then  $f$  is  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ -Hölder on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  for all  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n > 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ .*

*Proof.* By the assumption, we have  $g'' > 0$ , so

$$f''(x) + \frac{f'(x)}{x} - \frac{(f'(x))^2}{f(x)} = \frac{e^{x \log_e x}}{x^2} g''(\log_e x) \geq 0$$

for all  $x > 0$ .

By Theorem 2.1,  $f$  is  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ -Hölder on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  for all  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n > 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $Id(x) = x$  and  $Exp(x) = e^x$  for all  $x > 0$ . Then  $Id$  and  $Exp$  are  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ -Hölder on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  for all  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n > 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 3.1, where  $g = Id$  or  $g = Exp$ , respectively.  $\square$

In [2], if  $f$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $f^{(k)} \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then

$$f^{(k)}(x) = \int_0^\infty t^k e^{xt} d\sigma(t)$$

for all  $x > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $\sigma$  is a bounded and non-decreasing function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and the integral converges.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $f^{(k)} \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $f^{(k)}$  is  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ -Hölder on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  for all  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n > 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $F_k(x) = f^{(k)}(x)$  for all  $x > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then

$$F_k(x) = \int_0^\infty t^k e^{xt} d\sigma(t)$$

for all  $x > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

For any  $x > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we have

$$F'_k(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{k+1} e^{xt} d\sigma(t)$$

and

$$F''_k(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{k+2} e^{xt} d\sigma(t)$$

and, by the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
(F'_k(x))^2 &= \left( \int_0^\infty t^{k+1} e^{xt} d\sigma(t) \right)^2 \\
&= \left( \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{k}{2}+1} e^{\frac{xt}{2}} t^{\frac{k}{2}} e^{\frac{xt}{2}} d\sigma(t) \right)^2 \\
&\leq \left( \int_0^\infty t^{k+2} e^{xt} d\sigma(t) \right) \left( \int_0^\infty t^k e^{xt} d\sigma(t) \right) \\
&= \left( \int_0^\infty t^{k+2} e^{xt} d\sigma(t) \right) F_k(x)
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
F''_k(x) + \frac{F'_k(x)}{x} - \frac{(F'_k(x))^2}{F_k(x)} &= \int_0^\infty t^{k+2} e^{xt} d\sigma(t) + \frac{1}{x} \int_0^\infty t^{k+1} e^{xt} d\sigma(t) - \frac{(F'_k(x))^2}{F_k(x)} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{x} \int_0^\infty t^{k+1} e^{xt} d\sigma(t) \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1,  $F_k$  is  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ -Hölder on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  for all  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n > 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ .

This proof is completed.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her comments that helped us improve this article.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Dragomir, B. Mond and J. E. Pecaric, *A functional inequality and its applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **187** (1994) 287–295.
- [2] W. T. Sulaiman, *A functional inequality and its applications*, Int. J. Math. Anal. **3** (2009) 859–862.
- [3] D. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (1991).

BANYAT SROYSANG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,  
THAMMASAT UNIVERSITY, PATHUMTHANI 12121 THAILAND

*E-mail address:* banyat@mathstat.sci.tu.ac.th