JOURNAL OF INEQUALITIES AND SPECIAL FUNCTIONS ISSN: 2217-4303, URL: www.ilirias.com/jiasf Volume 14 Issue 3(2023), Pages 7-16 https://doi.org/10.54379/jiasf-2023-3-2

GENERALIZED QUASI-CONTRACTION FOR DISLOCATED QUASI-METRIC SPACES

PAIWAN WONGSASINCHAI AND WARUT KITCHAROEN*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, *T*-orbitally complete dislocated quasi-metric spaces are utilized to validate fixed point results for freshly developed Geraghty quasi-contraction type mappings. The Geraghty quasi-contraction type mappings generalize Ciric's quasi-contraction mappings and other Geraghty quasicontractive type mappings in the literature. Without establishing a continuity condition on the mapping, fixed point results are obtained, generalizing some relevant work in the literature.

1. INTRODUCTION

Geraghty[6] extended the Banach contraction mapping [7] in metric spaces by substituting an auxiliary function for a constant. Let Ω be the family of all functions $\beta : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1)$ which satisfy the condition

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta(t_n) = 1 \quad \text{implies} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Using such a function, Geraghty [6] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. [6] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a self-mapping on X. Suppose that there exists $\beta \in \Omega$ such that, for all $u, v \in X$,

$$d(Tu, Tv) \le \beta(d(u, v))d(u, v), \tag{1.2}$$

then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$ and $\{T^n z\}$ converges to z for all $z \in X$.

Many extensions of Banach contraction mapping were investigated using several contractive assumptions (see [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20]).

 \ddot{O} ztürk [21] introduced *F*-contraction and proved fixed point results for *F*-contractive iterates in a metric space. Some interesting results using other contractive conditions include [22, 23, 24, 25, 39, 40].

In the year 2000, Hitzler [27] proposed a space known as dislocated metric space, in which the self distance of points is not always zero, and established that the space's common Banach contraction mapping is also valid. Dislocated metric space

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H09, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. Quasi-contraction; Dislocated quasi-metric space; Geraghty type contraction mapping.

^{©2023} Ilirias Research Institute, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted June 19, 2022. Published August 22, 2023.

Communicated by N. Braha.

 $^{^{*}}$ Corresponding author.

is used in the semantic studies of logical programming, electronic engineering, and topology. Zeyada et al. [28] extended the concept of dislocated metric space by proposing dislocated quasi-metric space. The symmetric property is also omitted in this new definition. Other papers have been published that include fixed point results for self-mappings in metric spaces with various contraction conditions, as well as generalizations such as dislocated metric spaces and dislocated quasi-metric spaces (see [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37]).

Definition 1.2. [28] Let X be a nonempty set and $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function such that the following are satisfied:

- (i) d(u, v) = d(v, u) = 0 implies that u = v;
- (ii) $d(u, v) \le d(u, w) + d(w, v)$ for all $u, v, w \in X$.

Then d is called dislocated quasi-metric on X and the pair (X, d) is called a dislocated quasi-metric space.

Definition 1.3. [10] Let $T : X \to X$ be a self-mapping and $\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function. Then T is said to be α -orbital admissible if $\alpha(u, Tu) \ge 1$ implies $\alpha(Tu, T^2u) \ge 1$.

Definition 1.4. [10] Let $T : X \to X$ be a self-mapping and $\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function. Then T is said to be triangular α -orbital admissible if T is α -orbital admissible and $\alpha(u, v) \ge 1$, $\alpha(v, Tv) \ge 1$ imply $\alpha(u, Tv) \ge 1$.

Lemma 1.5. [10] Let $T : X \to X$ be a triangular α -orbital admissible mapping. Assume that there exists $u_1 \in X$ such that $\alpha(u_1, Tu_1) \ge 1$. Define a sequence $\{u_n\}$ by $u_{n+1} = Tu_n$. Then, we have $\alpha(u_n, u_m) \ge 1$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with n < m.

Definition 1.6. [36] Let $T : X \to X$ be a selfmapping on a metric space. For each $u \in X$ and for any positive whole number n,

 $O_T(u,n) = \{u, Tu, \dots, T^n u\}$ and $O_T(u,\infty) = \{u, Tu, \dots, T^n u, \dots\}.$

The set $O_T(u,\infty)$ is called the orbit of T at u and the metric space X is called T-orbitally complete if every Cauchy sequence in $O_T(u,\infty)$ is convergent in X.

It is clear that every complete dislocated quasi-metric space is T-orbitally complete. But the converse does not hold in general.

The purpose of this paper is to prove some fixed point results in dislocated quasi-metric space using Geraghty type generalized quasi-contraction. The result is obtained by removing the continuity constraint and proving the presence and uniqueness of a fixed point in a dislocated quasi-metric space that is orbitally complete (which is a relaxation of completeness). This finding generalizes many previous studies in the field [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 27, 28, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39].

2. Main results

Let Ψ denote the class of the functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) ψ is non-decreasing;
- (b) ψ is continuous;
- (c) $\psi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0.$

Definition 2.1. Let (X, d) be a dislocated quasi-metric space, and $\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function. A self-mapping $T : X \to X$ is called an (α, ψ, β) -Geraghty type contraction mapping if there exists $\beta \in \Omega$ such that, for all $u, v \in X$ with d(Tu, Tv) > 0 and $\alpha(u, v) \ge 1$,

$$\alpha(u, v)\psi(d(Tu, Tv)) \le \beta(\psi(M_T(u, v)))\psi(M_T(u, v)),$$
(2.1)

where

$$M_T(u,v) = \max\left\{ d(u,v), d(u,Tu), d(v,Tv), \frac{(1+d(u,Tv))d(v,Tu)}{1+d(u,v)}, \frac{(1+d(u,Tu))d(v,Tv)}{1+d(u,v)} \right\}$$

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, d) be a *T*-orbitally complete dislocated quasi-metric space such that $T : X \to X$ is a self-mapping. Suppose $\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a function satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) T is an (α, ψ, β) -Geraphty type contraction mapping;
- (ii) T is triangular α -orbital admissible mapping;
- (iii) There exists $u_1 \in X$ such that $\alpha(u_1, Tu_1) \geq 1$.

Then T has a fixed point $z \in X$ and $\{T^n u_1\}$ converges to z.

Proof. Let $u_1 \in X$ such that $\alpha(u_1, Tu_1) \geq 1$. Define a sequence $\{u_n\}$ by $u_{n+1} = T^n u$, for $n \geq 1$. If $u_n = u_{n+1}$ for some n, then obviously T has a fixed point. Consequently, throughout the proof, we suppose that $u_n \neq u_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 1.5, used recursively, we have

$$\alpha(u_n, u_{n+1}) \ge 1 \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$
(2.2)

By (2.1), we get

$$\psi(d(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2})) = \psi(d(T^n u, T^{n+1} u))$$

$$\leq \alpha(T^{n-1} u, T^n u)\psi(d(TT^{n-1} u, TT^n u))$$

$$\leq \beta(\psi(M_T(T^{n-1} u, T^n u)))\psi(M_T(T^{n-1} u, T^n u)),$$
(2.3)

where

$$M_{T}(T^{n-1}u, T^{n}u) = \max\left\{ d(T^{n-1}u, T^{n}u), d(T^{n-1}u, T^{n}u), d(T^{n}u, T^{n+1}u), \\ \frac{(1+d(T^{n-1}u, T^{n+1}u)d(T^{n}u, T^{n}u))}{1+d(T^{n-1}u, T^{n}u)}, \frac{(1+d(T^{n-1}u, T^{n}u))d(T^{n}u, T^{n+1}u)}{1+d(T^{n-1}u, T^{n}u)} \right\}$$
$$= \max\left\{ d(T^{n-1}u, T^{n}u), d(T^{n}u, T^{n+1}u) \right\}.$$

Assume that $\psi(M_T(T^{n-1}u, T^n u)) = \psi(d(T^n u, T^{n+1}u))$ and from (2.3), we get $\psi(d(T^n u, T^{n+1}u)) < \alpha(T^{n-1}u, T^n u)\psi(d(TT^{n-1}u, TT^n u))$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(u(1^{-u}, 1^{-u}, 1^{-u})) \leq u(1^{-u}, 1^{-u})\psi(u(1^{-u}, 1^{-u}, 1^{-u})) \\
&\leq \beta(\psi(M_T(T^{n-1}u, T^n u)))\psi(M_T(T^{n-1}u, T^n u)) \\
&= \beta(\psi(d(T^n u, T^{n+1}u)))\psi(d(T^n u, T^{n+1}u)) \\
&< \psi(d(T^n u, T^{n+1}u)),
\end{aligned}$$
(2.4)

which is a contradiction. Thus, $\psi(M_T(T^{n-1}u, T^n u)) \neq \psi(d(T^n u, T^{n+1}u))$. Next, assume that $\psi(M_T(T^{n-1}u, T^n u)) = \psi(d(T^{n-1}u, T^n u))$ and from (2.3), we get

$$\psi(d(T^{n}u, T^{n+1}u)) \leq \alpha(T^{n-1}u, T^{n}u)\psi(d(TT^{n-1}u, TT^{n}u)) \\
\leq \beta(\psi(M_{T}(T^{n-1}u, T^{n}u)))\psi(M_{T}(T^{n-1}u, T^{n}u)) \\
= \beta(\psi(d(T^{n-1}u, T^{n}u)))\psi(d(T^{n-1}u, T^{n}u)) \\
< \psi(d(T^{n-1}u, T^{n}u)).$$
(2.5)

Then, $\psi(d(T^n u, T^{n+1}u)) < \psi(d(T^{n-1}u, T^n u))$. Thus, the sequence $\{d(T^n u, T^{n+1}u)\}$ is positive and decreasing. Consequently, there exists $k \ge 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(T^n u, T^{n+1} u) = k$$

We claim that k = 0. Suppose, on the contrary, that k > 0. Then, from (2.3) we have

$$\frac{\psi(d(T^n u, T^{n+1} u))}{\psi(M_T(T^{n-1} u, T^n u))} \le \beta(\psi(M_T(T^{n-1} u, T^n u))) < 1$$
(2.6)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta(\psi(M_T(T^{n-1}u, T^n u))) = 1.$$
(2.7)

Because, $\beta \in \Omega$, by definition, it implies that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi(M_T(T^{n-1}u, T^n u)) = 0.$$
(2.8)

and so

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(T^n u, T^{n+1} u) = 0,$$
(2.9)

which is a contradiction.

Suppose that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is not a Cauchy, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and we can define two subsequences $\{T^{m_l}u\}$ and $\{T^{n_l}u\}$ of the sequence $\{T^nu\}$ such that, for any $n_l > m_l > l$, $d(T^{m_l}u, T^{n_l}u) \ge \epsilon$, but $d(T^{m_l}u, T^{n_l-1}u) < \epsilon$, we observe that $\epsilon \le d(T^{m_l}u, T^{n_l}u)$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq a(T^{n_{l}}u, T^{n_{l}-1}u) + d(T^{n_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}}u) \\ &\leq d(T^{m_{l}}u, T^{m_{l}-1}u) + d(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}-1}u) + d(T^{n_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}}u) \\ &\leq d(T^{m_{l}}u, T^{m_{l}-1}u) + d(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}}u) + 2d(T^{n_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}}u) \\ &< d(T^{m_{l}}u, T^{m_{l}-1}u) + \epsilon + 2d(T^{n_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}}u). \end{aligned}$$
(2.10)

Since $d(T^n u, T^{n+1} u) \neq 0$, we get

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} d(T^{m_{l}}u, T^{n_{l}}u) = \lim_{l \to \infty} d(T^{m_{l}}u, T^{n_{l}-1}u)$$

=
$$\lim_{l \to \infty} d(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}-1}u)$$

=
$$\lim_{l \to \infty} d(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}}u)$$

= $\epsilon.$ (2.11)

From T is an (α, ψ, β) -Geraghty type contraction mapping and $\alpha(u, v) \ge 1$, we obtain

$$\psi(d(T^{m_{l}}u, T^{n_{l}}u)) \leq \alpha(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}-1}u)\psi(d(TT^{m_{l}-1}u, TT^{n_{l}-1}u))$$

$$\leq \beta(\psi(M_{T}(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}-1}u)))\psi(M_{T}(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}-1}u)),$$

(2.12)

where

$$M_{T}(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}-1}u) = \max\left\{ d(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}-1}u), d(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{m_{l}}u), d(T^{n_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}}u), \\ \frac{(1+d(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}}u))d(T^{n_{l}-1}u, T^{m_{l}}u)}{1+d(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}-1}u)}, \frac{(1+d(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{m_{l}}u))d(T^{n_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}}u)}{1+d(T^{m_{l}-1}u, T^{n_{l}-1}u)} \right\}.$$

$$(2.13)$$

Letting $l \to \infty$ in (2.13) and using (2.11), we obtain

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} M_T(T^{m_l - 1}u, T^{n_l - 1}u) = \epsilon.$$
(2.14)

From (2.12), we get

$$\psi(\epsilon) \le \lim_{l \to \infty} \beta(\psi(M_T(T^{m_l-1}u, T^{n_l-1}u)))\psi(\epsilon)$$
(2.15)

and

$$1 \le \lim_{l \to \infty} \beta(\psi(M_T(T^{m_l-1}u, T^{n_l-1}u))).$$
(2.16)

Thus, $\lim_{l\to\infty}\beta(\psi(M_T(T^{m_l-1}u,T^{n_l-1}u)))=1$ and hence

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \psi(M_T(T^{m_l - 1}u, T^{n_l - 1}u)) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} d(T^{m_l - 1}u, T^{n_l - 1}u) = 0,$$
(2.17)

which is a contradiction. So, it follows that $\{T^n u\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. From T-orbitally complete, there exists $z \in X$ such that $T^n u \to z$ as $n \to \infty$. To show that Tz = z, suppose that

$$d(z,Tz)=\lim_{n\to\infty}d(T^nu,Tz)>0.$$

We have

$$\psi(d(u_{n+1}, Tz)) = \psi(d(T^n u, Tz)) \leq \alpha(T^{n-1} u, z)\psi(d(T^n u, Tz)) \leq \beta(\psi(M_T(T^{n-1} u, z)))\psi(M_T(T^{n-1} u, z)),$$
(2.18)

where

$$\begin{split} &M_T(T^{n-1}u,z)\\ &= \max\left\{ d(T^{n-1}u,z), d(T^{n-1}u,T^nu), d(z,Tz), \frac{(1+d(T^{n-1}u,Tz))d(z,T^nu)}{1+d(T^{n-1}u,z)}, \frac{(1+d(T^{n-1}u,T^nu))d(z,Tz)}{1+d(T^{n-1}u,z)} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M_T(T^{n-1}u, z)$$

$$= \max\left\{ d(z, z), d(z, z), d(z, Tz), \frac{(1 + d(z, Tz))d(z, z)}{1 + d(z, z)}, \frac{(1 + d(z, z))d(z, Tz)}{1 + d(z, z)} \right\}$$

$$= \max\left\{ d(z, Tz), 0 \right\}$$

$$= d(z, Tz).$$

Hence, by letting the limits as $n \to \infty$ in (2.18), we get

$$\begin{split} \psi(d(z,Tz)) &\leq \beta(\psi(d(z,Tz)))\psi(d(z,Tz)) \\ &< \psi(d(z,Tz)), \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, we obtain d(z, Tz) = 0. Similarly, d(Tz, z) = 0. That is, z = Tz and the fixed point of T is z.

Theorem 2.3. All the conditions of Theorem 2.2, we find that z is a unique fixed point of T.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 2.2, z is a fixed point of T. Assume that z and w are distinct fixed points of T. By condition (ii) in Theorem 2.2, we get

$$\psi(d(z,w)) = \psi(d(Tz,Tw))$$

$$\leq \alpha(z,w)\psi(d(Tz,Tw))$$

$$\leq \beta(\psi(M_T(z,w)))\psi(M_T(z,w)),$$

where

$$M_T(z,w) = \max\left\{ d(z,w), d(z,Tz), d(w,Tw), \frac{(1+d(z,Tw))d(w,Tz)}{1+d(z,w)}, \frac{(1+d(z,Tz))d(w,Tw)}{1+d(z,w)} \right\}$$
$$= d(z,w).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \psi(d(z,w)) &\leq \beta(\psi(d(z,w)))\psi(d(z,w)) \\ &< \psi(d(z,w)), \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction. So, z = w. Hence, T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2.4. Let (X, d) be a *T*-orbitally complete dislocated quasi-metric space and $\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a function. Suppose there exist $\beta \in \Omega$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that, for all $u, v \in X$ with d(Tu, Tv) > 0 and $\alpha(u, v) \ge 1$,

$$\alpha(u,v)\psi(d(Tu,Tv)) \le \beta(\psi(M_T(u,v)))\psi(M_T(u,v)),$$

where

$$M_T(u, v) = \max\{d(u, v), d(u, Tu), d(v, Tv)\},\$$

and satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) T is triangular α -orbital admissible mapping.
- (ii) There exists $u_1 \in X$ such that $\alpha(u_1, Tu_1) \geq 1$.

Then T has a fixed point $z \in X$ and $\{T^n u_1\}$ converges to z.

12

Proof. We obtain the proof by following the proof in Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.5. Let (X, d) be a *T*-orbitally complete dislocated quasi-metric space and $\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a function. Suppose there exist $\beta \in \Omega$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that, for all $u, v \in X$ with d(Tu, Tv) > 0 and $\alpha(u, v) \ge 1$,

$$\alpha(u,v)\psi(d(Tu,Tv)) \le \beta(\psi(d(u,v)))\psi(d(u,v)),$$

and satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) T is triangular α -orbital admissible mapping.
- (ii) There exists $u_1 \in X$ such that $\alpha(u_1, Tu_1) \geq 1$.

Then T has a fixed point $z \in X$ and $\{T^n u_1\}$ converges to z.

Proof. We obtain the proof by following the proof in Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.6. Let (X, d) be a *T*-orbitally complete dislocated quasi-metric space. Suppose there exist $\beta \in \Omega$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that, for all $u, v \in X$ with d(Tu, Tv) > 0,

$$\psi(d(Tu, Tv)) \le \beta(\psi(M_T(u, v)))\psi(M_T(u, v)),$$

where

 $M_T(u,v)$

$$= \max\left\{d(u,v), d(u,Tu), d(v,Tv), \frac{(1+d(u,Tv))d(v,Tu)}{1+d(u,v)}, \frac{(1+d(u,Tu))d(v,Tv)}{1+d(u,v)}\right\}$$

Then T has a fixed point $z \in X$ and $\{T^n u_1\}$ converges to z.

Proof. Letting $\alpha(u, v) = 1$ and we obtain the proof by following the proof in Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.7. Let (X, d) be a *T*-orbitally complete dislocated quasi-metric space. Suppose there exist $\beta \in \Omega$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that, for all $u, v \in X$ with d(Tu, Tv) > 0,

 $\psi(d(Tu, Tv)) \le \beta(\psi(M_T(u, v)))\psi(M_T(u, v)),$

where

$$M_T(u, v) = \max\{d(u, v), d(u, Tu), d(v, Tv)\}.$$

Then T has a fixed point $z \in X$ and $\{T^n u_1\}$ converges to z.

Proof. Letting $\alpha(u, v) = 1$ and we obtain the proof by following the proof in Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.8. Let (X, d) be a *T*-orbitally complete dislocated quasi-metric space. Suppose there exist $\beta \in \Omega$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that, for all $u, v \in X$ with d(Tu, Tv) > 0,

$$(d(Tu, Tv)) \le \beta(\psi(d(u, v)))\psi(d(u, v)).$$

Then T has a fixed point $z \in X$ and $\{T^n u_1\}$ converges to z.

ψ

Proof. Letting $\alpha(u, v) = 1$ and we obtain the proof by following the proof in Theorem 2.2.

Example 2.9. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and d(u, v) = u for all $u, v \in X$. Let $\beta(t) = \frac{1}{1+t}$ for all t > 0. Then $\beta \in \Omega$. Let $\psi(t) = 3t$ and a mapping $T : X \to X$ be defined by

$$T(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}u, & \text{if } u \in [0,1]\\ 3u, & \text{if } u > 1. \end{cases}$$

Define a function $\alpha: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$lpha(u,v) = egin{cases} 1, & \textit{if } u,v \in [0,1] \ 0, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Condition (iii) of Theorem 2.2 is satisfied with $u_1 = 1$.

For condition (ii), let u, v be such that $\alpha(u, v) \geq 1$. Then, $u, v \in [0, 1]$ and $Tu, Tv \in [0, 1]$. Moreover, $\alpha(v, Tv) = \alpha(u, Tu) = 1$ and $\alpha(Tu, T^2u) = 1$. So, T is triangular α -orbital admissible. Thus, (ii) is satisfied.

Finally, we show that condition (i) is satisfied.

Case (i): If $u, v \in [0, 1]$, then $\alpha(u, v) = 1$ and

$$\beta(\psi(M_T(u,v)))\psi(M_T(u,v)) - \alpha(u,v)\psi(d(Tu,Tv))$$

$$= \beta(\psi(M_T(u,v)))\psi(M_T(u,v)) - \psi(d(Tu,Tv))$$

$$= \frac{3M_T(u,v)}{1+3M_T(u,v)} - 3Tu$$

$$> 0.$$

Thus, $\alpha(u, v)\psi(d(Tu, Tv)) \leq \beta(\psi(M_T(u, v)))\psi(M_T(u, v))$ for $u, v \in [0, 1]$.

Case (ii): If $u \in [0, 1], v > 1$ or u, v > 1 then, obviously, $\alpha(u, v) = 0$ and we have Thus, all assumptions of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 are satisfied, and hence T has a unique fixed point z = 0.

3. Conclusions

We study fixed point theory on X be a T-orbitally complete dislocated quasimetric space by generalized quasi-contraction, Geraghty contraction, and admissible function.

Acknowledgments. Firstly, Paiwan Wongsasinchai would like to thank the support for the Research and Development Institute of Rambhaibarni Rajabhat University. Finally, Warut Kitcharoen was supported by Rajabhat Rajanagarindra University.

References

- [1] S. B. Nadler, JR., Multi-valued contraction mappings, Pacific J. Math. 30 2 (1969), 475–488.
- S. Czerwik, Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, 46 2 (1998), 263-276.
- [3] P. P. Murthy, H. K. Pathak, Some fixed point theorems without continuity, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 82 (1990), 212–215.
- [4] R. Miculescu, A. Mihail, New fixed point theorems for set-valued contractions in b-metric spaces, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 19 3 (2017), 2153–2163.
- [5] A. Aghajani, M. Abbas, J. Roshan, Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered b-metric spaces, Math. Slovaca, 62 4 (2014), 941–960.
- [6] M. A. Geraghty, On contractive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 2 (1973), 604–608.
- [7] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équation intégrales, Fundam. Math. 3 (1922), 133–182.
- [8] S. H. Cho, J. S. Bae, E. Karapinar, Fixed point theorems for α-Geraghty contraction type maps in metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013 (2013), 329.
- [9] E. Karapinar, α-ψ-Geraphty contraction type mappings and some related fixed point results, Filomat, 28 1 (2014), 37–48.
- [10] O. Popescu, Some new fixed point theorems for α-Geraghty contraction type maps in metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014 (2014), 190.

14

- [11] D. Singh, V. Chauhan, M. Asadi, Some remarks on tripled fixed point theorems for sequence of mappings satisfying Geraghty contraction with applications, Commun. Nonlinear Anal. 3 (2017), 68–86.
- [12] A. Amini-Harandi, H. Emami, A fixed point theorem for contraction type maps in partially ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010), 2238–2242.
- [13] M. Asadi, Some results of fixed point theorems in convex metric spaces, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. 19 2 (2014), 171–175.
- [14] S. Gülyaz-Özyurt, A fixed point theorem for extended large contraction mappings, Results Nonlinear Anal. 1 1 (2018), 46–48.
- [15] A. Padcharoen, D. Gopal, P. Chaipunya, P. Kumam, Fixed point and periodic point results for α-type F-contractions in modular metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2016 1 (2016), 1–12.
- [16] P. Saipara, P. Kumam, P. Bunpatcharacharoen, Some Results for Generalized Suzuki Type Z-Contraction in θ-Metric Spaces, Thai J. Math. 2018(2018), 203–219
- [17] A. Padcharoen, P. Kumam, P. Saipara, P. Chaipunya, Generalized Suzuki type Z-contraction in complete metric spaces, Kragujev. J. Math. 42 3 (2016), 419–430.
- [18] D. Jain, A. Padcharoen, P. Kumam, D. Gopal, A new approach to study fixed point of multivalued mappings in modular metric spaces and applications, Mathematics, 4 3 (2016), 51.
- [19] D. Wardowski, Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012 (2012), 94.
- [20] P. Bunpatcharacharoen, S. Saelee, P. Saipara, Modified almost type Z-contraction, Thai J. Math. 18 1 (2020), 252–260.
- [21] A. Oztürk, A fixed point theorem for mappings with an f-contractive iterate, Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 3 4 (2019), 231–236.
- [22] H. Monfared, M. Asadi, M. Azhini, f(ψ, φ)-Contractions for α-admissible mappings on metric spaces and related fixed point results, Commun. Nonlinear Anal. 2 1 (2016), 86–94.
- [23] M. Asadi, Remarks on the paper Extension of Caristis fixed point theorem to vector valued metric spaces, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. 19 4 (2014), 633–637.
- [24] A. Fulga, Fixed point theorems in rational form via Suzuki approaches, Results Nonlinear Anal. 1 1 (2018), 19–29.
- [25] I. A. Rus, Some variants of contraction principle in the case of operators with Volterra property: step by step contraction principle, Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 3 3 (2019), 111–120.
- [26] J. C. Umudu, J. O. Olaleru, A. A. Mogbademu, Fixed points of involution mappings in convex uniform spaces, Commun. Nonlinear Anal. 7 1 (2019), 50–57.
- [27] P. Hitzler, Generalized metrics and topology in logic programming semantics, Ph.D. thesis, National University of Ireland, University College Cork, (2001).
- [28] F. M. Zeyada, G. H. Hassan, M. A. Ahmed, A generalization of fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi-metric space, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 31 1 (2005), 111–114.
- [29] J. O. Olaleru, Some generalizations of fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2009 (2009), 657–914.
- [30] J. O. Olaleru, Common fixed points of three self-mappings in cone metric spaces, Appl. Math. E-Notes. 11 (2011), 41–49.
- [31] J. O. Olaleru, H. O. Olaoluwa, Common fixed points of four mappings satisfying weakly contractive-like conditions in cone metric spaces, Appl. Math. Sci. 7 (2013), 2897–2908.
- [32] J. O. Olaleru, K. S. Stella, H. O. Olaoluwa, Some fixed points of Ciric-type contraction mappings in ordered G-partial metric spaces, Appl. Math. 5 6 (2014), 1004–1012.
- [33] M. U. Rahman, M. Sarwar, Some new fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi metric space, Palest. J. Math. 5 1 (2016), 171–176.
- [34] C. T. Aage, J. N. Salunke, The results of fixed points in dislocated and dislocated quasi-metric space, Appl. Math. Sci. 59 2 (2008), 2941–2948.
- [35] B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, Fixed point theorems for α-ψ-contractive type mappings, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), 2154–2165.
- [36] L. B. Ćirić, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 45 2 (1974), 267–273.

- [37] E. Karapinar, P. Kumam, P. Salimi, On α - ψ -Meir-Keeler contractive mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2013** (2013), 94.
- [38] E. Karapinar, A discussion on α - ψ -Geraghty contraction type mappings, Filomat, **28** 4 (2014), 761–766.
- [39] J. C. Umudu, J. O. Olaleru, A. A. Mogbademu, Fixed point results for Geraghty quasicontraction type mappings in dislocated quasi-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2020 (2020), 16.
- [40] P. Saipara, K. Khammahawong, P. Kumam, Fixed-point theorem for a generalized almost Hardy-Rogers-type F contraction on metric-like spaces, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 42 17 (2019), 5898–5919.

Paiwan Wongsasinchai

Department of Mathematics, Rambhai Barni Rajabhat University, Chanthaburi 22000, Thailand

E-mail address: paiwan.w@rbru.ac.th

WARUT KITCHAROEN

PROGRAM MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, RAJABHAT RAJANAGARINDRA UNIVERSITY, CHACHOENGSAO 24000, THAILAND

E-mail address: warut.kit@rru.ac.th (Corresponding author)